Application #09/467,721 Submitted November 22, 2006 Reply to Office Action of August 22, 2006

II. IN THE SPECIFICATION

- 6. In the Related Applications section add the following:--A continuation in part of this application entitled, "Handheld Video Transmission and
- Display," application number 11/262106, was published as US 2006/0114987, and provides more detailed descriptions of Figures 12-18 which are included herein by reference.--
 - 7. On page 9, line 7 change:

'14c'

to

--14C--

- 8. On page 13, before the line starting with "1510" insert
- --1470 selection signal--

III. ALLOWANCE

A. Overview of Office Action

- 9. The office action:
- a) Allowed claims 11 through 15.
- b) Provided an examiner's amendment which cancelled claims 1-10 and 16-25.

B. Appreciation for Allowance

10. Applicant appreciates the allowance of claims 11-15. Applicant accepts the Examiner's Amendment and accordingly submits new claims within the scope of claim 11.

C. Comments of Statement of Reasons for Allowance

- 11. The office action stated, "Claims 11-15 are allowed as having incorporated novel features (emphasis added on amended independent claim limitations). The prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the novel features as specified in claim 11."
- been cited by the examiner. For example, many elements of the claims were not clearly taught or suggested by prior art. Also, the prior art fails to teach many of the novel features of the invention as outlined in the specification. Further, a number of elements of claims not yet allowed were not clearly taught or suggested by the prior art.
- 13. Therefore the validity of the invention should not be limited by the statement of the examiner regarding reasons for allowance.

IV. IN THE CLAIMS

D. Claims 26-38

Claims 26-38 are added as new dependent claims, which are dependent on claim 11, which is now allowed. These claims incorporate elements from the specification and claims as originally filed and introduce no new matter. Applicant submits that claims 26-38 should be allowed at least because they each add additional limitations to claim 11.

E. Claim 39

15. Claim 39 is similar in scope to claim 11 but some elements are written in "means plus function" form. Applicant submits that claim 39 should be allowed at least because of the same reasons that to claim 11 was allowable. No new search or prior art analysis is required and allowance of this claim at this time should not be a burden on the examiner.

V. IN THE SPECIFICATION

- 16. Reference to the related continuation in part publication has been added.
- 17. Reference for Fig 4C has been corrected. The term for item 1470 has been added. These changes are made to correct clerical errors and no new matter is added.

VI. SUBMISSION OF FORMAL DRAWINGS

18. A complete set of formal drawings (twenty-three sheets) is attached.

Corrections are shown on two marked up sheets, also attached.

CONSIDERATION AND ISSUE REQUESTED

19. The undersigned respectfully submits that, in view of the foregoing remarks, and the amended application, with new claims, is believed to be in condition for allowance and issue. It is respectfully requested that this application amendments be considered, that the new claims be allowed, and that this case be passed to issue. If it is believed that a

Application #09/467,721 Submitted November 22, 2006 Reply to Office Action of August 22, 2006

telephone conversation would expedite the prosecution of the present application, or clarify matters with regard to its allowance and issue, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned inventor at 408-739-9517.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 22, 2006

Kendyl A. Roman